REMARKS

The present Amendment is filed in response to the Office Action dated August 5, 2002, in the above-identified application, advising that the Amendment and Petition for Extension of Time filed July 15, 2002 was non-compliant under 37 C.F.R. 1.121.

Applicants request reconsideration and allowance of the present application in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

Claims 1-21 are pending in the present application. Claims 1, 8, 16, 17, 19, and 20 are the independent claims.

Initially, Applicants note with appreciation the indication that Claims 8, 11, 13, and 15 are allowed. Also, Claims 2-5 and 7 are indicated as containing patentable subject matter and would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims. Applicants have respectfully maintained Claims 2-5 and 7 in dependent form because it is believed, for the reasons discussed below, that the base claim is allowable.

Claims 1-18 have been amended. Applicants submit that the amendments to at least Claims 3, 4, 8, and 10-18 merely relate to matters of form and do not narrow the scope of the claims. No new matter has been added.

The Office Action objected to Figure 9 for failing to include a legend such as "Prior Art." By separate paper submitted concurrently herewith, Applicants are seeking approval to amend Figure 9 to add the legend "PRIOR ART" as required by the Examiner. Favorable consideration is requested.

Claims 6 and 9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite because the meaning of the phrase "shifted to that" is unclear. By this amendment, while not conceding the propriety of the rejection, and merely to expedite prosecution, Applicants have amended Claims 6 and 9 to delete this phrase. By these amendments, Applicants submit that the claims now even more clearly satisfy 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Therefore, Applicants request that the rejection of these claims under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, be withdrawn.

Claims 1, 10, 12, 14, and 16-18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,331,994 (Ohmi, et al.). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The rejection of independent Claims 1, 16, and 17 under 35 U.S.C. §102 should be withdrawn because the cited art does not teach or suggest at least the feature of a light emission portion for plasma light being a slit-shaped gap formed along a lengthwise direction of a plate member. Thus, the cited art does not anticipate these claims.

Ohmi, et al. relates to an excimer laser oscillation apparatus and method, an excimer laser exposure apparatus, and laser tube and teaches slots plates 303 and 420 having lengthwise slots. However, as Figures 17 A, 17 B, and 18A-18C illustrate, in stark contrast to the present invention, Ohmi, et al. teaches that either a dielectric plate 302 or 410 abuts slot plate 303 or 420, respectively, or a dielectric member 450 is buried in the slots of slot plate 420. As a result, it is respectfully submitted that Ohmi, et al. cannot emit a plasma light at the slit portion. Thus, at least for this reason, Applicants submit that independent Claims 1, 16, and 17 are not anticipated by Ohmi, et al.

Applicants submit that newly-presented independent Claims 19 and 20

incorporate the features of allowed independent Claim 8 and therefore should be allowable for at

least this reason.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit that independent Claims 1, 8, 16, 17,

19 and 20 also patentably define the present invention over the cited art. Further, the dependent

claims should also be allowable for the same reasons as the base claims from which they depend

and further due to the additional features that they recite.

Applicants believe that the present Amendment is responsive to each of the points

raised by the Examiner in the Official Action and submits that the application is in allowable

form. Favorable consideration of the claims and passage to issue of the patent application at the

Examiner's earliest convenience earnestly are solicited.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office

by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below

listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicants

Michael E. Kondoudis

Registration No. 42,758

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO

30 Rockefeller Plaza

New York, New York 10112-3801

Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

MEK/tmc

-9-